

1823 Nov 6

32

himself by being an Investigator. He had no prospect, but a disgrace. During this, after the Enquiry had closed before the Proceedings had been laid before me he demanded to know the result - I could not tell him, as I did not know. He was very much agitated, and enquired first of one member, and then of another, when he was informed that he was not acquitted, his anger became very hot. He insisted upon a further investigation, tho' he had had every opportunity afforded him to justify his conduct, that his Colleagues could give him. The Rev. W. Williams applied to me, to know what was to be done, as they had closed their proceedings, Mr. B. having declined to bring forward any further Evidence in his defence. I replied, tho' it was not the regular in a Court of Law for an accused Person after Judgment had been given against him to call upon the Court to examine further Evidence yet, as Mr. B. was so urgent I saw no serious objection to the Committee <sup>or</sup> sit again, in order to meet Mr. B.'s wishes - I was fully persuaded he could never make his case better, and he might make it much worse, and would do so, if other Evidence were called against him. However the Committee sat again, when a man who had been lately a convict was examined. Now desirous to the saved Character, to be allowed to call for the testimony of such a man, to vindicate his reputation - when the whole of the Proceedings were handed over to me, I saw Mr. B. was in a very unfortunate state, and such as I had