

1823 Nov 6

23129

did not know. He was very much agitated, and enquired first of one Member, and then of another. When he was informed that he was not acquitted, his anger became very hot. He insisted upon a further investigation tho' he had, had every opportunity afforded him to justify his conduct, that his colleagues could give. The Rev^d W. Williams applied to me to know what was to be done, as they had closed their proceedings, Mr B having declined to bring forward any further evidences in his defence. I replied tho' it would not be regular in a Court of Law for an accused person after Judgment had been given against him, to call upon the Court to examine further evidences, yet as Mr B was so urgent, I saw no serious objection to the Committee sitting again in order to meet Mr B's wishes. I was fully persuaded he could never make his case better, and he might make it much worse, and would do so, if other evidences were called against him. However the Committee sat again, when a Man who had been ^{late} a convict was examined. How degrading to the sacred character to be obliged to call for the testimony of such a Man to vindicate his reputation. When the whole of the proceedings were handed to me, I saw Mr B was in a very unfortunate state, and such as had warned him of before he ventured to call for an enquiry. I consider him a ruined Man - God permits Men to