

those goods being manufactured for the Sepoys }  
 which were sent in the Eye of the }  
 from total - without I should know the Price of each separate  
 Article I would never venture to send them to the East India Co. for  
 I had made a mistake, and overcharged a Piece of Tape a  
 single penny, as any other Article, and it had ever been  
 discovered I should have been accused of Fraud & Speculation  
 against the Persons I had to do with. I was not going to subject  
 myself to groundless suspicions; to endless Explanations  
 and continued Complaints - All you can say, my Agent  
 could there, and I have told you the Reason, but you cannot  
 say that either I or my Agent put a <sup>no</sup> further in your  
 Pockets - I admit I sent under the Care of the Rev.  
 J. Kendall such Goods as he chose to take, whose  
 Prices were known - I conceived he was the proper  
 Person to take Charge of them during the Voyage  
 and to hand over the public Stores as he arrived  
 to Mr Francis Hall the Storekeeper - I admit also  
 that the Shipping Expenses, and the Insurance amounting  
 to about 6-per-cent were added to the different Articles  
 which were for sale to the workmen & others in  
 order to keep the sum total of what the Goods cost  
 correct - Mr Kendall put the Insurance and Shipping  
 Charges upon the different Articles with his own  
 Hand, under my Directions - I conceived this just -  
 The sum is not worth mentioning - Should the Committee  
 in London think this an unjust Tax? They will permit it -  
 you told me you thought it was just that all the subscribers  
 should pay the small additional sum - but unjust that you  
 should pay it - you received <sup>only 160 - pay sum 40 -</sup> 200 per annum, while  
 the carpenters receive but 200 - I think it no disgrace  
 either to me or to the Society to charge the actual  
 amount of the original Cost paid in London - or  
 do I think I should be a faithful Agent if I omitted  
 this Charge? <sup>This is grossly false and wrong for Mr Marsden kept</sup>  
 you ask, have you not been <sup>teasing him about them, & in order to draw words</sup>  
 I answer no, never - The 7<sup>th</sup> was never <sup>from me - I do not know it might be justly or unjustly</sup>  
<sup>with respect to them - I do not wish to say the least</sup>  
<sup>correcting</sup>  
 unless with the 55<sup>th</sup> which I was and I have Mr Marsden letter }  
 when he was in the first case - }

The sum total of what the Goods cost correct - Mr Kendall put the Insurance and Shipping Charges upon the different Articles with his own Hand, under my Directions - I conceived this just - The sum is not worth mentioning - Should the Committee in London think this an unjust Tax? They will permit it - you told me you thought it was just that all the subscribers should pay the small additional sum - but unjust that you should pay it - you received 200 per annum, while the carpenters receive but 200 - I think it no disgrace either to me or to the Society to charge the actual amount of the original Cost paid in London - or do I think I should be a faithful Agent if I omitted this Charge? you ask, have you not been I answer no, never - The 7<sup>th</sup> was never unless with the 55<sup>th</sup> which I was and I have Mr Marsden letter